

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,721	06/22/2001	Tetsunori Kunimune	911-2111	6007	
7590 03/30/2004			EXAMINER		
Eugene Oak 610 S. Van Ness Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90005			CHIANG, JACK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·g•·•••, •			2642	7	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. App		T. Kunimune		
Office Action Summary	Examiner J. Ch		Group Art Unit	#7	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	t beneath the co	rrespondence add	iress—	
Period for Response		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH	I(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) do If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response to 	ays, a response within the sta default, expire SIX (6) MONT	tutory minimum of thi 'HS from the mailing	irty (30) days will be co date of this communic	ensidered timely. ation .	
Status				_	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	6-04			· ·	
This action is FINAL .					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exceeded accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	osecution as to 1 213.	the merits is close	ed in	
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are w	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.			
X Claim(s) 12	is/are re	_ is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)	/				
□ Claim(s)		are sub	ject to restriction or	r election	
•		require	ment.		
Application Papers					
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	wing Review, PTO-948.			\$0	
	-	d □ disapproved	l. *	* **	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	is 🗆 approve		l	to .	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approver is □ approver is □ is □ approver is in □ approver is in □ approver in □ approver is in □ approver in □ approver is □ approver is □ approver is □ approver in □ approver is □ approver in □ approver in □ approver is □ approver in □ approver i		i.	tr.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob 	is □ approver is □ approver is □ is □ approver is in □ approver is in □ approver in □ approver is in □ approver in □ approver is □ approver is □ approver is □ approver in □ approver is □ approver in □ approver in □ approver is □ approver in □ approver i		i.	Pro Comment of the Co	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approver is □ approver is □ is □ approver is in □ approver is in □ approver in □ approver is in □ approver in □ approver is □ approver is □ approver is □ approver in □ approver is □ approver in □ approver in □ approver is □ approver in □ approver i		i.	Tr.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approver ijected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been		**************************************	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		***	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examine r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		70	
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved plected to by the Examine of the priority documents of	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)). □ Interview Summ		on, PTO-152	

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

DRAWINGS

NOTE: new drawings (figs. 1-2) submitted on 10-31-03 contained new matter and not supported by the original disclosure, therefore, it has not been entered. Applicant is advised to check original specification page 4, and original drawings figs. 1-2.

CLAIMS

112 First Paragraph Rejection

1. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. See below.

In claim 5, it claims --numeral "5" at the center of the surface surrounded by alphabets "J, K, L" and a symbol "."--. This is questionable, because "5" is surrounded by more than one ".", and the "JKL" is on top of the dots. Claim 5 is inaccurate when describing the subject matter, therefore, it is considered unenabling with respect to the claimed languages and also a new matter.

'Application/Control Number: 09/886,721

Art Unit: 2642

Claim 5 might also be considered as a single means claim, because it covers every conceivable means for achieving the stated result, while the specification discloses at most only those means known to the inventor, see In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 218 USPQ 195 (Fed. Cir. 1983); O'Reilly v. Morse, 56 U.S. 62, 112, (1853).

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claim 5, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Topp (US D280099).

Regarding claim 1, Topp shows:

A button with a numeral "5" at the center and surrounded by "JKL.".

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,721

Art Unit: 2642

5. Claim 5, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnan (US 6377685) in view of Grover et al. (US 5818437). Regarding claim 5, Krishnan shows:

A button with a numeral "5" at the center and surrounded by "JKL-".

Krishnan differs from the claimed invention in that the symbol is "-" instead of ".".

However, from various embodiments in Krishnan, it can be seen that the symbol "." can be assigned to different buttons, see Krishnan's figs. 1, 2, 3 and 7. In other words, it is a personal preference on placing the symbol "." on certain buttons. Further, Grover shows the symbol "." with the numeral "5".

Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to place the symbol "." with the numeral "5" as it is taught by different embodiments shown by Krishnan or taught by Grover. This simply can be considered as a variation of Krishnan because it can be seen that the symbol "." has been placed with different buttons, and the operation of the device is substantially unchanged.

- 6. Applicant's amendment with respect to claim 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).